SPAM (Junk Mail) Management **FACT SHEET**



Prepared by Cliff Schiller, IT Security Officer

| _ | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| n | 9 | 1 | ρ | |

1/27/04

For presentation to the PHIT – Today's anti-Spam options

Background

Department of Health's first enterprise level anti-Spam software installed in September, 2002

Business drivers:

- \triangleright Dozens of staff receiving 5 10 Spam messages per day.
- ➤ Amount growing too large to ignore.

Results:

- ➤ Purchased Plug-in upgrade to current enterprise anti-virus software
- ➤ Installed on enterprise E-mail server
- ➤ Good first generation Spam software solution

Today's issues:

- ➤ Today's Spam uses second and third generation techniques.
- Number of Spam increasing exponentially
 - o Jan 2001, approx 8 % of all E-mail was spam
 - o Dec 2003, more than 50 % of all E-mail is Spam
- > During times of high Spam activity, the anti-Spam function impacts the primary function of our E-mail servers.
- Flavor of Spam taking a number of directions including pornographic
- Many new techniques developed specifically to fool anti-Spam devices
 - Key words intentionally misspelled
 - o Content pulled directly from web pages.
 - o Content consists totally of images.
 - o Random invisible characters created specifically to fool software
 - o Growing likeness of Spam to malicious e-mail
 - New sophisticated methods such as Phishing attempt to steal account and password information.

Goals Anti-Spam options

Today's anti-Spam options

- > "Defense in Depth" concept of protection:
- > Second and third generation anti-Spam product
- > Products that use multiple components and multiple analysis techniques
- Gateway oriented products
 - o (appliance, software, service)
 - o (anti-Spam only or integrated product)